Serial No 10/765,193

1 2	REMARKS
3	The Examiner has objected claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second
4	paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out the
5	subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Applicant's
6	proposed amendments obviate the Examiner's objections.
7	
8	Additionally, the Examiner has objected claims 1 through 8 under 35
9	U.S.C. 103(a), as being unpatentable over Leaflet No. 8, 1983 in view of
10	Tayag (PH26114). Applicant has adopted the Examiner's suggestions, to
11	overcome the Examiner rejections by canceling claim 3 and adding the
12	limitations of the cancelled claim into claim 1. Finally, Applicant has
13	adopted the Examiner suggestions by amending claim 8.
14	
15	On January 20, 2006, Attorney had a telephonic interview with
1.6	Examiner Helen F. Pratt wherein they reached an agreement. Attorney
1 <i>7</i>	thanks Examiner for the attention and time spent during the interview.
18	
19	Applicant believes his application is now allowable and ready to be
20	passed to publication and requests an early favorable action.
21	
22	Respectfully submitted,
23 24	Albert Bordas, P.A. Attorney for Applicant
25	5975 Sunset Drive, Suite 607
26	Miami, Florida 33143
27	Tel. 305-669-9848
28	Fax 305-669-9851
29	
30 31	By: All
32	Albert Bordas, Esq.
33	Reg. No. 45,595